BOARD OF EDUCATION

RESOLUTION

ACCEPTANCE OF CONSOLIDATED MONITORING REPORT
ISSUED BY OFAC IN OCTOBER 2015 (CASE # CM-039-14)
AND ADOPTION OF DISTRICT CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

WHEREAS, the State of New Jersey Department of Education Office of Fiscal Accountability and Compliance ("OFAC") has completed a review of funds received and disbursed from one or more federal programs by the Elizabeth School District for the period of July 1, 2013 to February 28, 2015 (funding sources reviewed included titled programs for the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)); and,

WHEREAS, as a result of that review, OFAC issued a Consolidated Monitoring Report for the Elizabeth Public Schools, dated October 13, 2015 (Case #CM-039-14); and,

WHEREAS, in discussions with OFAC, the District has prepared a Corrective Action Plan for adoption by the Board of Education to enact the recommended practices set forth in the OFAC report; and,

WHEREAS, the Board of Edcutions is required by regulation (N.J.A.C. 6A:23A-5.6) to publicly review and discuss (1) the findings and recommendations contained in the OFAC report at a public meeting of the Board of Education, and (2) the Corrective Action Plan to be adopted by the Board of Education.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Elizabeth Board of Education acknowledges receipt of the Consolidated Monitoring Report for the Elizabeth School District that was issued by OFAC on or about October 13, 2015.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Elizabeth Board of Education adopts the Corrective Action Plan to address the recommendations and findings that were made in the OFAC report for implementation.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of the OFAC report and Corrective Action Plan shall be posted on the District’s website with the agenda and meeting minutes for the January 21, 2016 meeting; be it further

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of the Corrective Action Plan and this resolution shall be forwarded to OFAC immediately in accordance with N.J.A.C. 6A:23A-5.6(c).

TRUE COPY APPROVED AND ADOPTED
AT THE BOARD OF EDUCATION MEETING
HELD JANUARY 21, 2016

Harold E. Kennedy, Jr.
School Business Administrator/Board Secretary
Elizabeth, New Jersey

15-142
NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
OFFICE OF FISCAL ACCOUNTABILITY AND COMPLIANCE  
CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

SCHOOL DISTRICT NAME: Elizabeth Public Schools  
COUNTY: Union

TYPE OF EXAMINATION: Consolidated Monitoring Report, October 2015

DATE OF BOARD MEETING: January 21, 2016

CONTACT PERSON: Amy Gil, Director of Research, Evaluation, and Assessment and District Test Coordinator

TELEPHONE NUMBER: 908-436-5375  
FAX NUMBER: 908-436-5378

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECOMMENDATION NUMBER</th>
<th>CORRECTIVE ACTION (REQUIRED ACTION)</th>
<th>METHOD OF IMPLEMENTATION</th>
<th>INDIVIDUAL RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION</th>
<th>COMPLETION DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **TITLE I**  
Finding 1:  
The District's upload of the Title I School Improvement Plan (SIP) in the FY 2014-2015 ESEA-NCLB Consolidated Application in the Electronic Web-Enabled Grant System (EWEG) for all six focus schools was limited to the cover pages only | The schools must revisit, revise, and upload FY 2014-2015 completed SIPs and Title I Schoolwide Plans to address the feedback given at the monitoring visit and the additional technical assistance from NJDOE personnel regarding specific plan deficiencies... | The district uploaded the 7 Title I School Improvement Plans (SIP) in the FY2014-2015 ESEA-NCLB Consolidated Application in the EWEG system (April 2015). District was not aware that previous upload only included cover page due to technical error. The 2014-2015 Title I Plan for only one school (EHS), was uploaded again into the EWEG system, as the district inadvertently uploaded the previous year’s plan. The plans were aligned to the | Supervisor of Grants  
Business Office  
Principals | April 24, 2015  
(Upload of SIP Plans)  
October /November 2015 |

Chief School Administrator  
Date: 1-15-10  
Board Secretary/Business Administrator  
Date: 11/5/2016
| Finding 2: The Schoolwide Stakeholder Engagement Committee representation at each school did not consistently include at least one community and one parent representative who were not affiliated with the district's board of education, and a student representative on the high schools' committees | The district's Title I schoolwide schools must expand their Title I Schoolwide Stakeholder Engagement Committees to include the aforementioned representatives. The Schoolwide Stakeholder Engagement Committees need to meet at a minimum on a quarterly basis. The district must send an updated list of the stakeholder committee for each of its Title I schoolwide programs to the NJDOE for review. The list must include the person's name and constituent group. | The district's Title I schoolwide schools expanded their Title I Schoolwide Stakeholder Engagement Committees to include at least one community member, one parent representative and a student representative on the high school committee. Stakeholder/Schoolwide Committee forms were updated accordingly. A letter was generated to principals reiterating components of Schoolwide Program (ESEA 1114) timing and requirements of the number of stakeholder meetings. The district will monitor the schools to ensure adherence. | Supervisor of Grants | October 2015 |

<p>| Finding 3: For FY 2014-2015, the Title I participation letter informing parents of Title I students about the targeted assistance program as: iPrep Academy School number 8 did not identify specific multiple entrance and exit criteria used to identify Title I students | In its Title I participation letter: iPrep Academy School Number 8 must include the specific entrance and exit criteria used for Title I student identification and exit of program. The district must provide a copy of the school's revised FY 2014-2015 Title I participation letter to the NJDOE for review. | The Title I participation letter informing parents of Title I students about the targeted assistance program at iPrep Academy School No. 8 was revised to identify specific multiple entrance and exit criteria used to identify Title I students. | Principal of iPrep Academy | October 2015 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding 4: The district limited its identified needs on the Needs Assessment tab in FY 2014-2015 ESEA-NCLB Consolidated Application to English Language Arts (CCSS) and Mathematics (CCSS), but did not incorporate the additional needs for which the district is using its Title I funds to address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The district must amend the FY 2014-2015 ESEA-NCLB Consolidated Application to revise the Needs Assessment to accurately reflect and align with the needs of the district students, staff, and program implementation identified in the targeted assistance program, SIPs, and Schoolwide Plans and to reflect the use of the district’s Title I funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The district amended the FY 2014-2015 ESEA-NCLB Consolidated Application to revise the Need Assessment to accurately reflect and align with the needs of the students, staff and program implementation identified in the targeted assistance program, SIPs and Schoolwide Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor of Grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2015 October / November 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding 5: The Administration Reserve on Eligibility-Step 4 in FY 2014-2015 ESEA-NCLB Consolidated Application was not consistent with the amount indicated as the total administrative costs on the Administration Costs tab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The district must include the amount budgeted on the Title I, part A Administrative Costs tab on Title I Eligibility – Step 4 of the district’s amended FY 2014-2015 ESEA-NCLB Consolidated Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The district revised the amount budgeted on the Title I Part A Administrative Cost tab on Title I Eligibility – Step 4 on the district’s amended FY 2014-2015 ESEA/NCLB Consolidated Application to reflect the total administrative costs on the Administrative Costs tab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor of Grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding 6: On Eligibility-Step 4, School Number 21’s total school allocation equaled $1,921, but that school was indicated on Step 4 as not being served</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the amended FY 2014-2015 ESEA-NCLB Consolidated Application, the district must adjust the allocation for School Number 21 (Victor Mravlag)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the amended FY 2014-2015 ESEA/NCLB Consolidated Application, the district adjusted the allocation for School No. 21 (Victor Mravlag) to reflect 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor of Grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding 7: For FY 2014-2015, the costs associated with New Jersey Music Educators Association ($150) and New Jersey Association for Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance ($170) do not align with the district’s Needs Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The district must allocate state/local funds rather than using Title I funds to support these expenditures. The district must provide evidence of the adjusting journal entries for the expenditures to the NJOE for review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Title I final report and Comprehensive Annual Financial Report June 30, 2015 (CAFR) Schedule K-3 Contacted EWEG to open final report and made changes for CAFR effected general journal entries to the proper accounts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comptroller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 29, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding 8:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding 9:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding 10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Finding 11:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Finding 12:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Finding 13:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding 14: The district used FY 2014-2015 Title I funds to incur service agreement costs for HP ProBook 430 G2 Notebooks covering a three-year period when the project period is limited to one year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TITLE II Finding 15: For FY 2014-2015, the district spent Title II-A funds (PO number 13-04575 for $70,000.00) on full online package Observation School license renewal. The expenditure is unallowable under the federal cost principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TITLE III Finding 16: For FY 2014-2015, the district spent Title III funds (PO number 14-10132 for $1,085.00) 31 individual memberships in a professional organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| IDEA PROGRAM | Finding 17: The district did not consistently maintain documentation of the description, frequency, duration and effectiveness of the interventions provided in the general education setting through the Intervention and Referral Service (I&RS) | general journal entries to the proper accounts | Revamp the I&RS process
Train staff on I&RS procedures
Explore computerized database for housing I&RS
Implement new computerized I&RS system | Aaron Goldblatt
Dorothy McMullen | June 2015
August and September 2015
June 2015
February 2016 |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Finding 18: The district did not conduct meetings within 20 calendar days of receipt of a written request for evaluation for students referred for special education and related services and for students referred for speech-language services. In addition, written requests for evaluations were not consistently maintained in student records | The district must ensure that a meeting is conducted within 20 calendar days of receipt of a written request for evaluation for students referred for special education and related services and for students referred for speech-language services. In addition, written requests for evaluations were not consistently maintained in student records | Implement new IEP system
Train CST and Speech | Director of Special Services
Supervisors of Special Services | July 2015
July, August, September & December 2015 |
| Finding 19: The district did not consistently provide notice of a meeting to parents of students referred and/or eligible for special education and related services and students | The district must provide parents notice of meeting in writing that contains all required components. | Implement new IEP system
Train CST and Speech | Director of Special Services
Supervisors of Special Services | July 2015
July, August, September & December 2015 |
| Finding 20: The district did not consistently provide parents written notice that contains all required components, within 15 calendar days following meetings for students eligible for special education and related services and for students eligible for speech-language services | The district must ensure parents are provided written notice of a meeting that contains all required components within 15 calendar days of the meeting | Implement new IEP system Train CST and Speech | Director of Special Services Supervisors of Special Services | July 2015  
July, August, September & December 2015 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Finding 21: The district did not consistently convene meetings with required participants for students referred and/or eligible for special education and related services and for students referred and/or eligible for speech-language services | The district must ensure meetings are conducted with required participants and documentation of participation is maintained in students’ records | Implement new IEP system Train CST and Speech Train Administrators | Director of Special Services Supervisors of Special Services | July 2015  
July, August, September & December 2015  
December 2015 |
| Finding 22: The district did not consistently conduct all required sections of the functional assessment as a component of initial evaluations for students referred for special education and related services and for students referred for speech-language services. Initial evaluation reports did not consistently include observation in a non-testing setting, teacher interview, and a review of prior interventions | The district must ensure all components of the functional assessments are conducted as part of all initial evaluations | Implement new IEP system Train CST and Speech | Director of Special Services Supervisors of Special Services | July 2015  
August, September & December 2015 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding 23: The district did not consistently conduct multidisciplinary initial evaluations for students referred for speech-language services by obtaining an educational impact statement from the classroom teacher</th>
<th>The district must ensure that a multidisciplinary evaluation is conducted for students referred for speech-language services by obtaining a statement from the general education teacher that details the educational impact of the speech problem on the student’s progress in general education.</th>
<th>Train speech therapists on educational impact statement Memo to SLP Implement new IEP system</th>
<th>Director of Special Services Supervisors of Special Services</th>
<th>December 2015 November 2015 July 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finding 24: The district did not consistently document in the IEPs of students removed from the general education setting for more than 20 percent of the school day, including students placed in separate settings, consideration of placement in the least restrictive environment. Specifically, IEPs did not include:</td>
<td>The district must ensure that when determining the educational placement of a child with a disability, the IEP team considers the general education class first and that all required decisions regarding placement are documented in the IEP.</td>
<td>Train CST and Speech Therapists Implement new IEP system Revise IEP’s Memo to CST</td>
<td>Director of Special Services Supervisors of Special Services</td>
<td>July, August, September &amp; December 2015 July 2015 July – December 2015 November 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Finding 25: The district did not consistently document required statements and considerations in the IEPs of students eligible for special education and related services and students eligible for speech-language services | The district must ensure that all IEPs contain required statements and considerations | Train CST and Speech Therapists  
Revise IEPs  
Memo to CST | Director of Special Services  
Supervisors of Special Services | July, August, September & December 2015 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Finding 26: The district did not consistently conduct reevaluation meetings within three years of the previous classification date for students eligible for special education and related services. In addition, the district did not consistently conduct annual review time line meetings within the required one year time line for students eligible for speech-language services | The district must ensure that all IEPs include all considerations and required statements | Train CST and Speech Therapists  
Implement new IEP system  
Memo to CST | Director of Special Services  
Supervisors of Special Services | July, August, September & December 2015  
July 2015  
December 2015 |
| Carl D Perkins Finding 27: The district did not provide an updated articulation agreement signed and dated annually by the lead administrator and college president for their two programs of study: Engineering (140101) and (2) Automobile/Automotive Mechanics Technology/Technician (470604) | Current articulation agreements will be established for the two programs of study cited. Going forward, all articulation agreements will be updated annually. | Reach out to institutions:  
  - Obtain signatures of college president and indicate number at credits awarded for specific courses.  
  - Board approval | James Bauer | December 2015 |
| Finding 28: The district is not offering a coherent sequence of these courses in the General Office Occupations and | All three programs cited underwent re-approval by the NJDOE and each program has a sequence of three courses. The sequence of courses is approved | Program Re- Approval:  
  - CIP 520402- 05/14/2010  
  - CIP 410303 – 02/14/2013 | James Bauer | August 2015 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding 29:</th>
<th>Typographical Error:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The purchase orders reviewed contained CIP Codes which were of erroneous nature and not from the district's list of approved programs. Specifically, the Johnson's Restaurant Equipment Inc. (PO 14-06221) was charged to CIP code 421909. The Allegheny Educational Systems, Inc. (PO 14-07817) was charged to CIP Code 116303. The CIP codes do not relate to an approved CTE program offered by the district.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| - PO 14-06221 should have been charged to CIP code 521909  
- PO 14-07817 should have been charged to CIP code 151303 and 150303 |
| Purchases were made for approved programs. Corrections have been noted on each Purchase Order. Going forward, all payments to vendors charged to the Perkins grant will contain the correct CIP code. |
| Dr. William Greene | November 2015 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding 30:</th>
<th>As of June 30, 2015 inventory tags are on all equipment purchased with Perkins Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The district's equipment purchased with Perkins funds did not have a visible, permanently attached, numbered inventory tag identifying the federal funding source. Multiple equipment units were not tagged appropriately.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory tags will be placed on all equipment purchased with Perkins funds as each item is received.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Bauer</td>
<td>June 2015 and ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding 31:</th>
<th>Reports and records from the District financial management system will be readily available for review.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The district's fiscal records were not readily accessible during the on-site monitoring visit. The cooperation of grantees is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All financial information will be coordinated at the entrance conference.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. William Greene</td>
<td>June 2015 and ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding 32:</td>
<td>The district must issue purchase orders to all vendors prior to goods or services being provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding 33:</td>
<td>The district should update its internal controls to ensure that the Board minutes contain the required information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding 34:</td>
<td>The district must comply with the Regulations of the PSCL. Purchases made with federal funds should be procured through a competitive process</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UES bid exemption is for services which cannot reasonably be described by written specifications. The regulation also states that the "exception as to EUS services shall be construed narrowly in favor of open competitive bidding where possible." The contracts noted above did not meet the standards to be considered EUS. In addition, procurement regulations for federal programs do not include all the exemptions allowed under PSCL. Therefore, it is the NJ DOE's understanding that all goods and services purchased with federal funds must be purchased through a competitive process, whether exempt by PSCL or not.
October 13, 2015

Mrs. Olga Hugelmeyer, Superintendent  
Elizabeth Board of Education  
500 North Broad Street  
Elizabeth, NJ 07208  

Dear Mrs. Hugelmeyer:

The New Jersey Department of Education has completed a review of funds received and disbursed from one or more federal programs by the **Elizabeth Board of Education**. The funding sources reviewed include titled programs for the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The review covered the period July 1, 2013 through February 28, 2015. The resulting report is enclosed. Please provide a copy of the report to each board member. All issued Consolidated Monitoring Reports will be posted on the department’s website at http://www.state.nj.us/education/finance/jobs/monitor/consolidated.

Utilizing the process outlined in the attached “Procedures for LEA/Agency Response, Corrective Action Plan and Appeal Process,” the Elizabeth Board of Education is required, pursuant to N.J.A.C. 6A:23A-5.6, to publicly review and discuss the findings in this report at a public board meeting no later than 30 days after receipt of the report. Within 30 days of the public meeting, the board must adopt a resolution certifying that the findings were discussed in a public meeting and approving a corrective action plan which addresses the issues raised in the undisputed findings and/or an appeal of any **monetary** findings in dispute (emphasis added). A copy of the resolution and the approved corrective action plan and/or appeal must be sent to this office within 10 days of adoption by the board. Direct your response to my attention.

Also, pursuant to N.J.A.C. 6A:23A-5.6(c), you must post the findings of the report and the board’s corrective action plan on your district’s website.

By copy of this report, your auditor is requested to comment on all areas of noncompliance and recommendations in the next certified audit submitted to the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have any questions, please contact Steven Hoffmann at (973) 621-2750.

Sincerely,

Robert J. Cicchino, Director  
Office of Fiscal Accountability and Compliance

RJC/SH/dk:Elizabeth BOE Cover Letter /consolidated monitoring
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David C. Hespe
Robert Bumpus
Susan Martz
Michael Yalie
Marie Barry
Karen Campbell
Peggy McDonald
Kimberly Murray
Steven Hoffmann
Roger Jinks
Stephen M. Eells
STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
PO BOX 500
TRENTON, NJ 08625-0500

ELIZABETH BOARD OF EDUCATION
500 NORTH BROAD STREET
ELIZABETH, NJ 07208
PHONE: (908) 436-5000

New Jersey K-12 Education

CONSOLIDATED MONITORING REPORT
OCTOBER 2015

District: Elizabeth Public Schools
County: Union
Dates On-Site: April 13, 14, 15 and 16, 2015
Case #: CM-039-14

FUNDING SOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Funding Award</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title I, Part A</td>
<td>$7,913,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDEA Basic</td>
<td>$6,334,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDEA Preschool</td>
<td>$121,839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title II, Part A</td>
<td>$1,456,232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title III</td>
<td>$1,010,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl D. Perkins</td>
<td>$286,937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Funds</strong></td>
<td><strong>$17,124,427</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BACKGROUND

The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) and the Individuals with Disabilities Act (IDEA) and other federal laws require local education agencies (LEAs) to provide programs and services to their districts based on the requirements specified in each of the authorizing statutes (ESEA and IDEA). The laws further require that state education agencies such as the New Jersey Department of Education (NJDOE) monitor the implementation of federal programs by sub recipients and determine whether the funds are being used by the district for their intended purpose and achieving the overall objectives of the funding initiatives.

INTRODUCTION

The NJDOE visited the Elizabeth Public Schools to monitor the district's use of federal funds and the related program plans, where applicable, to determine whether the district's programs are meeting the intended purposes and objectives, as specified in the current year applications and authorizing statutes and to determine whether the funds were spent in accordance with the program requirements, federal and state laws, and applicable regulations. The on-site visit included staff interviews and documentation reviews related to the requirements of the following programs: Title I, Part A (Title I); Title II, Part A (Title II); Title III; IDEA Basic and Preschool; and Carl D. Perkins for the period July 1, 2013 through February 28, 2015.

The scope of work performed included the review of documentation including grant applications, program plans and needs assessments, grant awards, annual audits, board minutes, payroll records, accounting records, purchase orders, a review of student records, classroom visitations and interviews with instructional staff to verify implementation of Individualized Education Programs (IEP), a review of student class and related service schedules, interviews of child study team members and speech-language specialists and an interview of the program administrator regarding the IDEA grant, as well as current district policies and procedures. The monitoring team members also conducted interviews with district personnel, reviewed the supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures and conducted internal control reviews.

EXPENDITURES REVIEWED

The grants reviewed included Title I, Title II, Title III, IDEA Basic and Preschool and Carl D. Perkins from July 1, 2013 through February 28, 2015. A sampling of purchase orders and/or salaries was taken from each program reviewed.
ELIZABETH PUBLIC SCHOOLS
CONSOLIDATED MONITORING REPORT
OCTOBER 2015

GENERAL DISTRICT OVERVIEW OF USES OF TITLE I, IDEA AND CARL D. PERKINS FUNDS

Title I Projects

The district utilized Title I funds for teacher salaries and benefits to support summer, afterschool and tutoring programs. In addition, funds were used for instructional supplies and parent involvement programs.

IDEA Projects

The district utilized 15 percent of FY 2013-2014 and FY 2014-2015 IDEA funds for Coordinated Early Intervening Services which included the hiring of Reading Specialists and Learning Disabilities Teacher Consultants, professional development and direct services to students at risk in the areas of reading and language arts. IDEA Basic funds were utilized for both instructional and non-instructional salaries and supplies. Nonpublic funds were utilized for the purchase of instructional supplies, support services, professional development and for the provision of related services.

Carl D. Perkins Funds

The district used Carl D. Perkins funds in FY 2013-2014 and FY 2014-2015 to support 30 Career and Technical Education (CTE) programs in Architectural Drafting and Architectural CAD/CADD (151303), Carpentry/Carpenter (460201), Plumbing Technology/Plumber (46.0503), Music Technology (500913), Dance, General (500301), Commercial Photography (500406), Radio & TV Broadcasting Technology/Technician (100202), Printing Management (100302), Drama and Dramatics/Theatre Arts, General (500501), Management Information Systems, General (521201), Business Administration and Management, General (520201), General Office Occupations and Clerical Services (520408), Education, General (130101), Accounting Technology/Technician & Bookkeeping (520302), Military Technologies & Applied Sciences, Other (299999), Health Unit Coordinator/Ward Clerk (510703), Nursing Assistant/Aide and Patient Care Assistant/Aide (513902), Food Preparation/Professional Cooking/Kitchen Assistant (120505), Cosmetology/Cosmetologist, General (120401), Child Care Provider/Assistant (190709), Computer Programming/Programmer, General (110201), Computer Programming, Vendor/Certification (110203), Computer Graphics (110803), Corrections & Criminal Justice, Other (430199), Chemical Process Technology (410303), Computer Technology/Computer Systems Technology (151202), Electrical, Electronic and Communications Engineering Technology/Technician (150303), Fashion Merchandising (521902), Special Products Marketing Operations (521909), and Auto Body/Collision & Repair Technology/Technician (470603). The district has two programs of study in Engineering, General (140101) and Automobile/Automotive Mechanics Technology/Technician (470604).
ELIZABETH PUBLIC SCHOOLS  
CONSOLIDATED MONITORING REPORT  
OCTOBER 2015

DETAILED FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Title I

Finding 1: The district's upload of the Title I School Improvement Plans (SIP) in the FY 2014-2015 ESEA-NCLB Consolidated Application in the Electronic Web-Enabled Grant System (EWEG) for all six focus schools, Thomas Jefferson Arts Academy, John E. Dwyer Technology Academy, T.A. Edison Career and Technical Academy, Admiral W. F. Halsey Health and Public Safety Academy, Number 14 Abraham Lincoln School, and Number 1 George Washington Academy School, was limited to the cover pages only. Additionally, the district uploaded outdated Title I Schoolwide Plans for some of the schools that were lacking key components including fiscal information on the Critical Overview Elements page.

Citation: ESEA §1114(b): Schoolwide Programs (Components of a Schoolwide Program).

Required Action: The schools must revisit, revise, and upload FY 2014-2015 completed SIPs and Title I Schoolwide Plans to address the feedback given at the monitoring visit and the additional technical assistance from NJDOE personnel regarding specific plan deficiencies. At a minimum, the district must upload the complete and revised plans in the amended FY 2014-2015 ESEA-NCLB Consolidated Application. The plans must be aligned to the district's identified needs in FY 2014-2015 ESEA-NCLB Consolidated Application and accurately reflect school program implementation to be considered allowable. If plans are not revised accordingly and the district is audited, Title I funding will be subject to recovery.

Finding 2: The Schoolwide Stakeholder Engagement Committee representation at each school did not consistently include at least one community and one parent representative who were not affiliated with the district's board of education, and a student representative on the high schools' committees. The timing and number of Schoolwide Stakeholder Engagement Committee meetings documented at each school was inconsistent throughout the district.

Citation: ESEA §1114(b): Schoolwide Programs (Components of a Schoolwide Program).

Required Action: The district's Title I schoolwide schools must expand their Title I Schoolwide Stakeholder Engagement Committees to include the aforementioned representatives. The Title I Schoolwide Stakeholder Engagement Committees need to meet at a minimum on a quarterly basis. The district must send an updated list of the stakeholder committee for each of its Title I schoolwide programs to the NJDOE for review. The list must include the person's name and constituent group.

Finding 3: For FY 2014-2015, the Title I participation letter informing parents of Title I students about the targeted assistance program at Prep Academy School Number 8 did not identify specific multiple entrance and exit criteria used to identify Title I students. Without this information, parents were unable to understand the reasons for their child being selected to participate in the Title I program and what is needed for their child to exit the program.
Citation: ESEA §1115: Targeted Assistance Program; ESEA §1118(c): Parental Involvement (Policy Involvement).

**Required Actions:** In its Title I participation letter, Prep Academy School Number 8 must include the specific entrance and exit criteria used for Title I student identification and exit of the program. The district must provide a copy of the school's revised FY 2014-2015 Title I participation letter to the NJDOE for review.

**Finding 4:** The district limited its identified needs on the Needs Assessment tab in the FY 2014-2015 ESEA-NCLB Consolidated Application to English Language Arts Literacy (CCSS) and Mathematics (CCSS), but did not incorporate the additional needs for which the district is using its Title I funds to address.

Citation: ESEA §1112: Local Educational Agency Plans.

**Required Action:** The district must amend the FY 2014-2015 ESEA-NCLB Consolidated Application to revise the Needs Assessment to accurately reflect and align with the needs of the district students, staff and program implementation identified in the targeted assistance program, SIPs, and Schoolwide Plans and to reflect the use of the district’s Title I funds.

**Finding 5:** The Administration Reserve on Eligibility-Step 4 in FY 2014-2015 ESEA-NCLB Consolidated Application was not consistent with the amount indicated as the total administrative costs on the Administration Costs tab. Additionally, the nonpublic administrative fee (five percent) charged by Union County Education Services Commission was not reflected on the Administrative Costs tab.

Citation: ESEA §1112: Local Educational Agency Plans; ESEA §1120: Participation of Children Enrolled In Private School.

**Required Action:** The district must include the amount budgeted on the Title I, Part A Administrative Costs tab on Title I Eligibility - Step 4 of the district’s amended FY 2014-2015 ESEA-NCLB Consolidated Application.

**Finding 6:** On Eligibility-Step 4, School Number 21’s (Victor Mravlag) total school allocation equaled $1,921, but that school was indicated on Step 4 as not being served. As such, the total school allocation for School Number 21 (Victor Mravlag) should be $0.

Citation: ESEA §1113 Eligible School Attendance Areas.

**Required Action:** In the amended FY 2014-2015 ESEA-NCLB Consolidated Application, the district must adjust the allocation for School Number 21 (Victor Mravlag).

**Finding 7:** For FY 2014-2015, the costs associated with New Jersey Music Educators Association ($150) and New Jersey Association for Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance ($170) do
not align with the district’s Needs Assessment. Therefore, these costs were not necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient performance and administration of the district’s Title I program. As a result, these expenditures were unallowable.

**Citation:** ESEA §1112: Local Educational Agency Plans; OMB Circular A-87, Attachment B, Section 14: Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments (Entertainment costs).

**Required Action:** The district must allocate state/local funds rather than using Title I funds to support these expenditures. The district must provide evidence of the adjusting journal entries for the expenditures to the NJDOE for review.

**Finding 8:** For FY 2013-2014, the costs associated with Title I parental involvement activities for swing night, including food ($400) and the grandparent’s breakfast ($800.91), were not necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient performance and administration of the district’s Title I program. As a result, these expenditures were unallowable.

**Citation:** ESEA §1118: Parental Involvement; OMB Circular A-87, Attachment B, Section 14: Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments (Entertainment costs).

**Required Action:** The district must utilize Title I parental involvement funding to impact parents of Title I students to enhance Title I student academic performance. The district must allocate state/local funds rather than using Title I funds to support these expenditures. The district must provide evidence of the adjusting journal entries for the expenditures to the NJDOE for review.

**Finding 9:** The district did not provide evidence of current Title I school-level parental involvement policies for all Title I served schools. Additionally, the district did not provide evidence that parents had input into the development of the district and school-level Title I parental involvement policy.

**Citation:** ESEA §1118(a)(2): Parental Involvement (Local Educational Agency Policy); ESEA §1118(b): Parental Involvement (School Parental Involvement Policy).

**Required Action:** The district must develop, with the input of parents and other associated stakeholders, the district and school-level parental involvement policies. The district must submit evidence of parental input into the development of the FY 2015-2016 Title I district-level and school-level parental involvement policies (e.g., meeting agendas, sign in sheets, minutes) to the NJDOE for review.

**Finding 10:** For FY 2014-2015, the district provided evidence of school-parent-student compacts, but did not provide evidence that the school-parent-student compacts were developed in conjunction with Title I parents. The absence of parent participation in developing these required documents excluded parents from more active participation in their child’s educational program.
Citation: ESEA §1118(d): Parental Involvement (Shared Responsibilities for High Student Academic Achievement).

**Required Action:** The district must develop school–parent–student compacts and include the associated stakeholder groups in the development process for FY 2015-2016. For FY 2015-2016, the district must document with meeting agendas, minutes, and sign in sheets that Title I parents were involved in the development process. The district must provide copies of this documentation to the NJDOE for review.

**Finding 11:** For FY 2014-2015, the district did not provide evidence that its Title I schools (School 5, School 8, School 12, School 14, School 127, Thomas Jefferson, Alexander Hamilton and Elizabeth High School) convened the required annual Title I parent meetings. For the other Title I served schools, the documentation for the annual meeting was inconsistent and did not consistently document fulfilling the legislative requirements. Not discussing the Title I legislation and the district’s Title I programs at the meetings did not provide parents of Title I students with the necessary information to be vested in the Title I process from the start.

Citation: ESEA §1118(c)(1): Parental Involvement (Policy Involvement).

**Required Action:** The district must ensure that its Title I schools convene and sufficiently document their FY 2015-2016 annual Title I meetings for the parents/guardians of its identified Title I students no later than mid-October. The district must submit evidence of the meetings (e.g., invitational letter/flyer, agenda, meeting minutes, and sign in sheets) to the NJDOE for review.

**Finding 12:** The district utilized a third-party provider, Union County Educational Services Commission (UCESC), to provide Title I nonpublic services, but the contract does not include per student costs. Additionally, the billing documentation did not consistently specify schools and students served, student attendance, and services received (English Language Arts and/or mathematics) to support payment for services rendered on a monthly basis.

Citation: ESEA §1120: Participation of Children Enrolled In Private School.

**Required Action:** The district must revisit the contract with UCESC to ensure that the aforementioned items are included in the contract. Also, the district must devise a consistent system of tracking of students and services occurred which should serve as supporting documentation for payment for services rendered. The district must submit evidence of these changes to the NJDOE for review.

**Finding 13:** The district needs to provide oversight of the nonpublic Title I programming via ongoing communication with UCESC. The Title I nonpublic program did not commence until December 2014, which is late in the project period.

Citation: ESEA §1120: Participation of Children Enrolled In Private School.
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**Required Action:** The district must provide oversight to ensure the consultation process with the nonpublic schools and the delivery of nonpublic school services for FY 2015-2016 occur in a timely manner and continue on an ongoing basis. The district must send documentation of the consultation process (e.g., invitational letters, agendas, meeting notes, sign in sheets) and the delivery of nonpublic services to the NJDOE for review.

**Finding 14:** The district used FY 2014-2015 Title I funds to incur service agreement costs for HP ProBook 430 G2 Notebooks covering a three year period when the project period is limited to one year. The additional two years of coverage are considered outside the project period and may not be paid for with FY 2014-2015 Title I funds.

**Citation:** EDGAR, PART 80—Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreement to State and Local Governments, Section 20, Standards for financial management systems § 200.309, OMB Circular A-87.

**Required Action:** The district must prorate the three year service agreement for the current project period and back out $2,180. The district must provide evidence of the adjusting journal entries for the expenditures to the NJDOE for review.

**Title II**

**Finding 15:** For FY 2014-2015, the district spent Title II-A funds (P.O. number 15-04575 for $70,000.00) on full online package Observation School license renewal. The expenditure is unallowable under the federal cost principles.

The district also spent Title II-A funds on (P.O. number 15-04730 for $36,456.13) subscriptions and licenses for instructional users and non-instructional users for online Professional Development Management and Evaluation System. The cost is unallowable under the federal cost principles.

**Citation:** ESEA §1112, §1119, §2123; Supplement Not Supplant, ESEA §1120A(b): Fiscal Requirements (Federal Funds to Supplement: Not Supplant. Non-Federal Funds). EDGAR, PART 80—Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreement to State and Local Governments, Section 20, Standards for financial management systems.

**Required Action:** The district must reverse the FY 2014-2015 unallowable expenditures and charge them to state/local funding. The district must provide evidence of the adjusting journal entries to reverse the expenditures to the NJDOE for review.

**Title III**

**Finding 16:** For FY 2014-2015, the district spent Title III funds on (P.O. number 14-10132 for $1,085.00) 31 individual memberships in a professional organization. Costs for individual memberships are unallowable under the federal cost principles.
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Required Action: The district must reverse the FY 2014-2015 unallowable expenditure and charge it to state/local funding. The district must provide evidence of the adjusting journal entry to reverse the expenditure to the NJDOE for review.

IDEA Program

Finding 17: The district did not consistently maintain documentation of the description, frequency, duration and effectiveness of the interventions provided in the general education setting through the Intervention and Referral Service (I&RS).

Citation: N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.3(b); 20 U.S.C. §1413(f)(2); and 34 CFR §300.226(b).

Required Action: The district must ensure when the I&RS team identifies interventions to meet the needs of a struggling learner, that the team maintains documentation of the nature, description, frequency, and duration of the interventions and measures the effectiveness. In order to demonstrate correction of noncompliance, the district must conduct training for administrators and I&RS staff and develop an oversight mechanism to ensure compliance with the requirements in the citation listed above. A monitor from the NJDOE will conduct an on-site visit to interview I&RS team members and teachers, review documentation for students who were provided interventions in general education between September 2015 and December 2015, and to review the oversight procedures.

Finding 18: The district did not conduct meetings within 20 calendar days of receipt of a written request for evaluation for students referred for special education and related services and for students referred for speech-language services. In addition, written requests for evaluations were not consistently maintained in student records.

Citation: N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.3(c) and 3.3(e)(c).

Required Action: The district must ensure a meeting is conducted within 20 calendar days of receipt of a written request for evaluation to determine if an evaluation is warranted. In order to demonstrate correction of noncompliance, the district must conduct training for child study team members and speech-language specialists and develop an oversight mechanism to ensure compliance with the citation listed above. A monitor from the NJDOE will conduct an on-site visit to interview staff, review documentation of identification meetings conducted between September 2015 and December 2015, and to review the oversight procedures.
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Finding 19: The district did not consistently provide notice of a meeting to parents of students referred and/or eligible for special education and related services and students referred and/or eligible for speech-language services.

Citation: N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.3(j) and (k)3 and 5 and 5; 20 U.S.C. §1414(b)(1); and 34 CFR §300.304(a).

Required Action: The district must provide parents notice of a meeting in writing that contains all required components, early enough to ensure they have an opportunity to attend. In order to demonstrate correction of noncompliance, the district must conduct training for child study team members and speech-language specialists and develop an oversight mechanism to ensure compliance with the requirements in the citation listed above. A monitor from the NJDOE will conduct an on-site visit to interview staff, review documentation for meetings conducted between September 2015 and December 2015, and to review the oversight procedures.

Finding 20: The district did not consistently provide parents written notice that contains all required components, within 15 calendar days following meetings for students eligible for special education and related services and for students eligible for speech-language services.

Citation: N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.3(f-i); 20 U.S.C. §1414(b)(1); and 34 CFR §300.304(a).

Required Action: The district must ensure that parents are provided written notice of a meeting that contains all required components within 15 calendar days of the meeting. In order to demonstrate correction of noncompliance, the district must conduct training for child study team members and speech-language specialists and develop an oversight mechanism to ensure compliance with the requirements in the citation listed above. A monitor from the NJDOE will conduct an on-site visit to interview staff, review copies of written notice sent to parents following meetings conducted between September 2015 and December 2015, and to review the oversight procedures.

Finding 21: The district did not consistently convene meetings with required participants for students referred and/or eligible for special education and related services and for students referred and/or eligible for speech-language services.

Citation: N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.3(k)1-2; 3.3(e); 20 U.S.C. §1414(d)(1)(B); and 34 CFR §300.321(a).

Required Action: The district must ensure meetings are conducted with required participants and documentation of participation is maintained in students’ records. In order to demonstrate correction of noncompliance, the district must conduct training for building administrators, child study team members and speech-language specialists and develop an oversight mechanism to ensure compliance with the requirements in the citation listed above. A monitor from the NJDOE will conduct an on-site visit to interview staff, review
meeting documentation, including the sign in sheets, for meetings conducted between September 2015 and December 2015, and to review the oversight procedures.

**Finding 22:** The district did not consistently conduct all required sections of the functional assessment as a component of initial evaluations for students referred for special education and related services and for students referred for speech-language services. Initial evaluation reports did not consistently include observation in a non-testing setting, teacher interview and a review of prior interventions.

**Citation:** N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.4(f)(4)(i and iii) and (g)(1-4); 20 U.S.C. §1414(b)(4) and (5); and 34 CFR §300.306(c)(i).

**Required Action:** The district must ensure all components of the functional assessment are conducted as part of all initial evaluations. In order to demonstrate correction of noncompliance, the district must conduct training for child study team members and speech-language specialists and develop an oversight mechanism to ensure compliance with the requirements in the citation listed above. A monitor from the NJDOE will conduct an on-site visit to interview staff, review initial evaluation reports for students evaluated between September 2015 and December 2015, and to review the oversight procedures.

**Finding 23:** The district did not consistently conduct multidisciplinary initial evaluations for students referred for speech-language services by obtaining an educational impact statement from the classroom teacher.

**Citation:** N.J.A.C. 6A:14-2.5(b) 6, 3.4(g)(3), and 3.6(b).

**Required Action:** The district must ensure that a multidisciplinary evaluation is conducted for students referred for speech-language services by obtaining a statement from the general education teacher that details the educational impact of the speech problem on the student’s progress in general education. In order to demonstrate correction of noncompliance, the district must conduct training for speech-language specialists and develop an oversight mechanism to ensure compliance with the requirements in the citation listed above. A monitor from the NJDOE will conduct an on-site visit to interview staff, review initial evaluation reports for students evaluated between September 2015 and December 2015, and to review the oversight procedures.

**Finding 24:** The district did not consistently document in the IEPs of students removed from the general education setting for more than 20 percent of the school day, including students placed in separate settings, consideration of placement in the least restrictive environment. Specifically, IEPs did not consistently include:

- the supplementary aids and services considered;
- the potentially beneficial or harmful effects which a placement in general education may have on the students with disabilities or other students in the class; and
for those students placed in separate settings, activities to transition the student to a less restrictive environment.

Citation: N.J.A.C. 6A:14-4.2 (a)(ii and iii) and 3.7(k).

Required Action: The district must ensure that when determining the educational placement of a child with a disability, the IEP team considers the general education class first and that all required decisions regarding the placement are documented in the IEP for each student removed from general education for more than 20 percent of the school day. The district must also ensure that for students placed in separate settings, the IEP team identifies activities to transition the student to a less restrictive environment and document them in each IEP. In order to demonstrate correction of noncompliance, the district must conduct training for child study team members regarding the district’s procedures and develop an oversight mechanism to ensure compliance with the requirements in the citations listed above. To demonstrate that the district has corrected the individual instances of noncompliance, the district must conduct annual review meetings and revise the IEPs for specific students with IEPs that were identified as noncompliant. A monitor from the NJDOE will conduct an on-site visit to interview staff, review the revised IEPs, along with a sample of additional IEPs developed at meetings conducted between September 2015 and December 2015, and to review the oversight procedures. The names of the students whose IEPs were identified as noncompliant will be provided to the district by the monitor.

Finding 25: The district did not consistently document required statements and considerations in the IEPs of students eligible for special education and related services and students eligible for speech-language services.

IEPs for students eligible for special education and related services did not include:

- how the student’s disability affects involvement and progress in the general education setting;
- documentation of participation in statewide assessments, and accommodations and modifications for assessments; and
- the frequency, duration and location of counseling services.

IEPs for students eligible for speech-language services did not include:

- how the student’s disability affects involvement and progress in the general education setting;
- student’s strengths; and
- documentation of participation in statewide assessments, and accommodations and modifications for assessments.

Citation: N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.7(c)(3), (c)(1)(i), (c)(4-9), and 3.7(c)(1).

Required Action: The district must ensure that IEPs include all considerations and required statements. In order to demonstrate correction of noncompliance, the district must conduct
training for child study team members and speech-language specialists and develop an 
oversight mechanism to ensure compliance with the requirements in the citation listed above. 
To demonstrate that the district has corrected the individual instances of noncompliance, the 
district must conduct annual review meetings and revise the IEPs for specific students with 
IEPs that were identified as noncompliant. A monitor from the NJDOE will conduct an on-
site visit to interview staff, review the revised IEPs, along with a sample of additional IEPs 
developed at meetings conducted between September 2015 and December 2015, and to 
review the oversight procedures. The names of the students whose IEPs were identified as 
noncompliant will be provided to the district by the monitor.

Finding 26: The district did not consistently conduct reevaluation meetings within three years of the 
previous classification date for students eligible for special education and related services. In 
addition, the district did not consistently conduct annual review time line meetings within the 
required one year time line for students eligible for speech-language services.

Citation: N.J.A.C. 6A:14-3.7(i); 20 U.S.C. §1414(d); and 34 CFR §300.324(b)(1).

Required Action: The district must ensure IEP team meetings are conducted within the 
required time lines to review, revise and determine the placement of each classified student. 
In order to demonstrate correction of noncompliance, the district must conduct training 
for child study team members and speech language specialists and develop an oversight 
mechanism to ensure compliance with the requirements in the citation listed above. A 
monitor from the NJDOE will conduct an on-site visit to interview staff, review 
documentation of eligibility meetings held as part of the reevaluation process between 
September 2015 and December 2015, review IEPs developed at annual review meetings 
conducted between September 2015 and December 2015, and to review the oversight 
procedures.

Carl D. Perkins

Finding 27: The district did not provide an updated articulation agreement signed and dated 
annually by the lead administrator and college president for their two programs of study; 
Engineering General (140101) and (2) Automobile/Automotive Mechanics Technology/Technician 
(470604). The articulations agreements provided were signed in 2012.

Citation: Perkins One-Year Grant Application Guidelines, Section B.1, Program Plan 
Information/Program of Study (POS); P.L. 109-270 § 134 3(A); 135(b) (2).

Required Action: The district is required to ensure that each program of study has a current 
articulation agreement established between the secondary school and the postsecondary 
institution. The signature of the college president is required on each articulation agreement, 
the number of credits, and the courses for which the credits will be awarded. The articulation 
agreement should be updated annually and must be effective throughout the program year for 
which the grantee seeks Perkins funding.
Finding 28: The district is not offering a coherent sequence of three courses in the General Office Occupations and Clerical Services (520408), Chemical Process Technology (410303), and Education, General (130101) Career and Technical Education (CTE) programs that are being supported with Perkins funds.

Citation: N.J.A.C. 6A: 19-3.1.6 (i) Program Requirements.

Required Action: The district must ensure that program curriculum is developed with a coherent sequence of not fewer than three identifiable courses for all CTE programs supported with Perkins funding. Programs and course sequence information should be available for students, teachers, guidance counselors, administrators and parents.

Finding 29: The purchase orders reviewed contained CIP Codes which were of an erroneous nature and not from the district's list of approved programs. Specifically, the Johnson's Restaurant Equipment Inc. (P.O. 14-06221) was charged to CIP code 421909. The Allegheny Educational Systems, Inc. (P.O. 14-07817) was charged to CIP Code 110305. The CIP Codes do not relate to an approved CTE program offered by the district. As a result, it could not be determined if the costs were reasonable, necessary or allocable to the federal award. All costs must be adequately documented to be considered allowable in accordance with federal cost principles.

Citation: Carl D. Perkins One-Year Grant Application Guidelines, Section E 2.134; 2 CFR 225 Attachment A, Section C.1.: Factors affecting allowability of costs. 34 CFR 80.20: Standards for financial management systems. N.J.S.A. 18A:19-2: Requirements for payment of claims; audit of claims in general.

Required Action: The district must provide adequate documentation supporting these charges to the NJDOE, or remit all unsupported charges not previously remitted under a separate finding to the NJDOE. Going forward, all payments to vendors charged to the Perkins grant must contain the correct CIP code, be supported by a vendor prepared invoice, which is to be maintained as part of the district’s accounting records.

Finding 30: The district's equipment purchased with Perkins funds did not have a visible, permanently attached, numbered inventory tag identifying the federal funding source. Multiple equipment units were not tagged appropriately.

Citation: OMB Circular A-87; EDGAR 80.32.; Carl D. Perkins One-Year Grant Application Guidelines, Section D: 3.8, Equipment.

Required Action: The district must place visible, permanently attached, numbered inventory tags on all equipment. Any unit purchased which consists of multiple pieces must be tagged with sequential numbers. Perkins funded equipment must be labeled as "Perkins" funded equipment. The district must ensure that equipment purchased with Perkins funds is utilized primarily in the CTE program or program of study for which it was approved.
Finding 31: The district’s fiscal records were not readily accessible during the on-site monitoring visit. The cooperation of grantees is essential for the purpose of obtaining relevant information during audits. It includes access to records and district personnel without unreasonable restrictions.

Citation: 34 CFR 75.910: Cooperation with audits; and 34 CFR 80.20: Standards for financial management systems.

Required Action: The district must implement procedures to ensure that reports and records from its financial management system, as well as all required supporting documents are compliant, accurate, complete and readily available for review.

Administrative

Finding 32: On several occasions, the district failed to issue a purchase order prior to goods being purchased or services being rendered (confirming order). District policy and state regulations require that a properly executed purchase order be issued prior to the purchase of goods or the rendering of services.


Required Action: The district must issue purchase orders to all vendors prior to goods or services being provided.

Finding 33: For employees charged to federal grants, the board minutes contained some, but not all, of the required information such as funding grant, account number, position, annual/funded salary and percentage charged.

Citation: OMB Circular A-87, Attachment B, Section 8(h): Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments (Compensation for personal services).

Required Action: The district should update its internal controls to ensure that the board minutes contain the required information.

Finding 34: The district is claiming an extraordinary unspecifiable services (EUS) exemption from the public bidding requirements of New Jersey’s Public School Contracts Law (PSCL) for several contracts including consulting, professional development services and digital learning software. Per N.J.S.A. 18A:18A-5 the EUS bid exception is for services which cannot reasonably be described by written specifications. The regulation also states that the “exception as to EUS services shall be construed narrowly in favor of open competitive bidding where possible.” The contracts noted above do not meet the standards to be considered an EUS. In addition, procurement regulations for federal programs do not include all the exemptions allowed under PSCL. Therefore, it is the NJDOE’s understanding that all goods and services purchased with federal funds must be purchased through a competitive process, whether exempt by PSCL or not.
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**Citation:** EDGAR, PART 80—Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreement to State and Local Governments, Section 20, Standards for financial management systems. N.J.S.A. 18A:18A-5.

**Required Action:** The district must comply with the regulations of the PSCL. Purchases made with federal funds should be procured through a competitive process.

**Recommendation 1:** The district currently does not have a qualified purchasing agent (QPA). Not having a QPA lowers the bid and quote thresholds for purchases under PSCL potentially resulting in more work for the purchasing department.

**Citation:** N.J.S.A. 18A:18A-3.

**Recommended Action:** The district should encourage one or more current staff members to obtain the QPA certification.

The NJDOE thanks you for your time and cooperation during the monitoring visit and looks forward to a successful resolution of all findings and implementation of all recommendations contained in this report.

If you have any questions, please contact Steven Hoffmann via phone at (973) 621-2750 or via email at steven.hoffmann@doc.state.nj.us.
State of New Jersey
Department of Education
Office of Fiscal Accountability and Compliance

PROCEDURES FOR LEA/AGENCY RESPONSE
CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN AND APPEAL PROCESS

**Board of Education Response:**

Pursuant to N.J.A.C. 6A:23A-5.6, the following actions shall occur:

(a) Any school district or county vocational school district that has been subject to an audit or investigation by the Department of Education, Office of Fiscal Accountability and Compliance (OFAC) shall discuss the findings of the audit or investigation at a public meeting of the district board of education no later than 30 days after receipt of the findings.

(b) Within 30 days of the public meeting required in (a) above, the district board of education shall adopt a resolution certifying that the findings were discussed in a public board meeting and approving a corrective action plan to address the issues raised in the findings. The resolution shall be submitted to the OFAC within 10 days of adoption by the board of education.

(c) The findings of the OFAC audit or investigation and the board of education's corrective action plan shall be posted on the district's web site, if one exists.

If the board of education disputes any of the findings of the audit or investigation, it may file a written appeal with the OFAC of any disputed finding(s) within 10 days of adoption of the resolution. Seeking an appeal of the findings does not preclude adherence to the provisions of (a), (b), and (c) listed above.

**Corrective Action Plan:**

The corrective action plan is to be used when the LEA/Agency is in agreement with any of the findings. To contest a finding, the appeal process must be used. After the appeal is settled, a corrective action plan must be filed for any finding upheld during the appeal process.

The corrective action plan must be prepared by completing the attached form. The LEA/Agency must submit the following information:

- Recommendation number
- Corrective action (approved by the board)
- Method of implementation
- Person responsible for implementation
- Completion date of implementation
If the corrective action plan is acceptable, a letter will be sent to the LEA/Agency indicating that it has been accepted.

If the corrective action plan is not acceptable, a letter will be sent to the LEA/Agency indicating whether further clarification is required or further action is necessary.

**Appeal Process:**

The appeal process is used to contest disputed findings.

Within 10 days of the board's adoption of the resolution approving an appeal of the findings of the audit or investigation, a written request by the LEA/Agency to review the disputed finding(s), recommendation(s), or questioned costs must be submitted to the OFAC Director. The Request for Appeal must indicate the finding(s) in question.

The Request for Appeal must be in writing and the LEA/Agency must present any supporting documentation for the appeal. Subsequent to the submission of the Request for Appeal, the OFAC Director will issue a written decision.

If the final determination made by the Director, is still unsatisfactory to the LEA/Agency, the LEA/Agency may file a Petition of Appeal pursuant to N.J.A.C. 6A:3-1.3.